

AWSP TERM OFFICE

WASHINGTON STATE
MAJOR LEAGUF BASEBALL STADIUM
PUBLIC FACILITIES DISTRICT
110 South Afontic Street
Southe, WA 98134

Meil: P.O. Box 94445

Septile, WA 98124 Tel: (206) 664-3076

Fore: (206) 664-3194

June 8, 2004

## HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Allison Ray Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project Office 999 Third Avenue, Suite 2424 Seattle, Washington 98104

> Re: Comments on SR 99: Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project, Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Ms. Ray:

The Washington State Major League Baseball Stadium Public Facilities District (PFD) appreciates the opportunity to review and comment on the draft Environmental Impact Statement ("draft EIS") for the SR 99 Project (the "Project"). While the PFD is supportive of the transportation and infrastructure improvements to be provided by the Project, the PFD is concerned that the draft EIS does not adequately analyze alternatives, impacts and mitigation measures for portions of the Project. In particular, the PFD is concerned that reasonable alternatives have not been considered for the overcrossings and connections from the Project to SR 519 at S. Atlantic St. and S. Royal Brougham Way, and to the current Port of Seattle Terminal 46. In addition, the impacts of these connections have not been adequately evaluated, both during the construction phase and during operations. Finally, design alternatives or mitigation measures for reducing the significant impacts that will result from these connections immediately adjacent to the pedestrian rich enviroriment of Safeco Field have not be adequately considered.

The PFD believes that these omissions are serious and need to be corrected in the final EIS if that document is to meet the standards established in the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The PFD is willing to work with the Project proponents on these issues and encourages direct discussion between Project staff, the PFD and the Seattle Mariners on these topics. While there has been substantial public input and opportunity for comment on the central and

Ms. Allison Ray June 8, 2004 Page 2

waterfront segments of the Project, additional attention needs to be paid to the south segment and its connections to the surrounding community.

Additional information about the PFD's concerns is provided below. The PFD also joins in the detailed comments submitted by the Seattle Mariners on the Project.

 Reasonable Alternatives to the Overcrossings and Connections from the Project to SR 519 and the Current POS T-46 Must be Evaluated.

On July 15, 2004, Safeco Field will celebrate its 5th year anniversary of operations. In each full year of operation, the ballpark has attracted more than 3 million fans to attend the 81 home baseball games. In addition, tens of thousands more people attend other events at the ballpark each year. All told, there are more than 100 days of baseball games or other major events at Safeco Field every year, and the number of events is increasing.

Fan attendance at ballgames and other events at the ballpark produce a very high level of pedestrian and vehicle traffic both before and after events. The streets immediately surrounding the ballpark (in particular S. Royal Brougham Way and Atlantic Street S.) are subject to direct police control. These streets are highly congested with traffic and with pedestrians both before and after games and major events at the ballpark.

The SR 99 Project proposes to provide connections between SR 99, 1-5 and I-90 by building aerial overcrossings of, or interchanges with, SR 99 that would place traffic at grade right at the two main entrances to Safeco Field: the home plate entrance at First Ave. S. and S. Atlantic Street and the left field entrance at First Ave. S. and S. Royal Brougham Way.

The PFD is concerned that these connections will prove to be unworkable more than 100 days each year due to the pedestrian and traffic congestion related to events at Safeco Field. The PFD believes that reasonable alternatives for providing connections between SR 99, 1-5 and 1-90 should be evaluated.

In addition to the impacts mentioned above, another reason for evaluating alternatives is the continued evolution of the properties and land uses adjacent to the ballpark. In particular, the Port of Seattle's Terminal 46 is subject to growing uncertainty as to its future use and development. The terminal is currently used by Hanjin as part of its container shipping operations, but there is uncertainty regarding how long that use will continue (the current lease expires in 2010). There are also preliminary proposals circulating for a major change in use from port facilities to residential/commercial development. This is significant, because it changes the underlying premises (e.g., freight mobility) for the connections.

Ms. Allison Ray June 8, 2004 Page 3

The PFD is supportive of the need for connections between these major roadways, and it recognizes that it is one of the direct beneficiaries of these connections. But the PFD also believes that it is time for a thorough review of the transportation needs in this area, including reasonable alternatives to the connections proposed in the SR 99 Project draft EIS. There is more than S1 billion in public investment reflected in Safeco Field and the Seahawks Stadium and Exhibition Center, and the PFD is concerned about diminishing the value of that public investment by running freeway on-ramps immediately adjacent to and between those facilities. An analysis of additional alternatives should be part of the final EIS.

## The Impacts of the Project on the Area Surrounding Safeco Field have not been Adequately Evaluated.

The draft EIS discusses at length the construction and operational impacts of the SR 99 Project, at least with respect to the central and north waterfront portions of the project. The draft EIS fails, however, to adequately analyze the impacts resulting from the construction and operation of the south portions of the Project, including the aerial overcrossings that will directly impact Safeco Field. If the south portion of the Project were an independent project, far more detail analysis of impacts and mitigation measures (both during construction and operation) would be provided than is included in the draft EIS.

For example, the draft EIS discloses that overall Project construction could last between 7.5 and 11 years, not including the year-and-a-half for utility relocations at the beginning of the Project. But there is little discussion of how construction impacts during this decade plus period will affect Safeco Field and the surrounding area and how those impacts will be addressed. In particular, while there are detailed drawings and photo simulations of the impacts of some of the temporary construction "fly-over" for the central portion of the project, no visual simulations are provided for the permanent serial overcrossings adjacent to the ballpark. View protection from the ballpark has been and will continue to be an important issue for the PFD. This is just one example of the kind of impacts on Safeco Field from the Project that should be evaluated in the final EIS.

## Design Alternatives or Mitigation Measures for Reducing Significant Impacts on and around Safeco Field Must be Evaluated.

The final EIS should include detailed analysis of design alternatives or mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the Project on and around Safeco Field. These might include measures specifically designed to reduce the construction impacts of the Project and other measures to reduce operational impacts. For example, as noted above Safeco Field is a pedestrian rich environment. The final EIS should include design alternatives or mitigation measures to ensure that pedestrians traveling to and from

Ms. Allison Ray June 8, 2004 Page 4

Safeco Field or the Seahawks Stadium and Exhibition center can get there safely. How this will be accomplished, given the proposed aerial overcrossings adjacent to Safeco Field, simply is not discussed or demonstrated in the draft EIS. This needs to be corrected in the final.

 The PFD Joins in the Detailed Comments on the draft EIS Made by the Seattle Mariners.

The Seattle Mariners submitted a detailed comment letter on the draft EIS, raising concerns about the EIS's content but also expressing support for the Project in general. The PFD has reviewed those comments and joins in raising them to the SR 99 Project team for response in the final EIS.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment. We look forward to working cooperatively with WSDOT and the City of Seattle as they study further the impacts, alternatives and mitigation measures described in this letter. If you would like to arrange a meeting with the PFD, please contact Kevin Callan our Executive Director. Kevin can be reached at (206) 664-3079 or (206) 767-7800.

Sincerely,

Joan Enticknap PFD Board Chair

cc: PFD Board Members

Kevin Callan, Executive Director

Enterusp

Scattle Mariners

Steve Pierce, City of Scattle